On-Vehicle Video Localization Technique based on Video Search using Real data on the Web

Kazuma Fukumoto[*1] Hiroshi Kawasaki[*1] Shintaro Ono[*2] Hiroshi Koyasu[*3] Katsushi Ikeuchi[*2]
Kagoshima University[*1]
(1-21-40 Korimoto, Kagoshima City, Japan)
Tokyo University[*2]
(4-6-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, Japan)
Saitama University[*3]
(255 Shimo-Ohkubo, Sakura-ku, Saitama City, Japan)

Recently, the mounting of on-vehicle camera is increasing to general cars. Because of this, some users start to upload the on-vehicle videos to web. So that, a number of on-vehicle videos are available nowadays. In this paper, in order to localize car, we propose the efficient matching method for such on-vehicle videos using Temporal Height Image (THI), Affine SIFT and Bag of Feature. THI retains information of relative building height from temporal image sequence. Then we extract robust features from the THI by using Affine SIFT. We realize efficient matching by expressing their features using Bag of features. We conducted experiments to show the efficiency of the proposed method by real image sequences of the city.

Keyword: *Temporal Height Image, Bag of Feature, Affine SIFT*

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the urban space representation and 3D reconstruction on computer have been extensively reported. These research have attracted attentions from the viewpoint of landscape simulation and disaster prevention. However, in most case, since the data is acquired by a special vehicle, it is not reasonable to expect the map to be frequently updated.

On the other hand, installation of on-vehicle cameras are significantly increasing for the purpose of recording car accidents and/or intelligent driving support systems. With the spread of on-vehicle cameras for general cars, some drivers start to upload their on-vehicle videos to the web to share their driving experience and the number of those videos are surely increasing. As the result, a number of on-vehicle videos are available nowadays. These videos have potential to use for frequently updating of the map. However, there is one critical issue to use those database for analysis or ITS applications, *i.e.*, almost of these data does not have geographical information like GPS. Therefore, in this research, we propose the method to identify the location of on-vehicle videos which were captured by the anonymous users.

In the proposed method, we identify the the position where the data was acquired using an approach based on image matching with image database. The method consists of two parts, the first is a learning part using video data which already have geographical information and the second is a video search part to find the video which includes the same scene of our target video from the database.

At the learning part, we first make a special data structure, called Temporal Height Image (THI) [16], which efficiently represents a spatio-temporal information of our target, and then, extract a feature from the data which is invariant to speed and directional change of the car. At the video search part, we use the Bag of Feature (BoF) [6] which is known to realize a robust and efficient search from huge database.

For the sake of localizing videos by using video retrieval method, videos associated with geographic information are required. To obtain such data, we can assume three methods: taking video data by probe car, adding geographic information manually, or using ready-made city model such as Google Earth [1] or Bing maps [2]. The former two methods are able to obtain real video, however it is difficult to prepare database which has large scale region. On the other hand, the last one can generate large scale database, but errors of 3D city model may degrade matching accuracy.

We also propose a method to generate database from each input data of above three. We evaluated the method by matching videos downloaded from the Internet with these 3 databases. The results show the effectiveness of our method.

There are mainly two contribution in the paper. First, we propose the method which can localize the video data captured by car mounted camera without using any other sensor information, such as Gyro sensor nor GPS. Although several techniques have been proposed for the same purpose, our technique is robust to illumination and camera direction change. Second, we conducted several experiments to show the effectiveness and the limitation of the proposed method. By using our video localization technique, accurate car position can be acquired from the car mounted video camera, even if the car is running in the area where GPS information cannot be acquired; such area is commonly exist in urban districts with high buildings.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, related works including vision-based localization

in robotics and image matching of outdoor scene in computer vision are described in detail. The overview algorithm of the proposed method is described in Section 3. Then the proposed feature extraction method and the video retrieval method are described in Section 4. The experimental results, including the effectiveness of our THI correction and localization results by using videos taken from various on-vehicle cameras and videos generated from Google Earth, are shown in Section 5. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

2 RELATED WORKS

Google Street view [9] provides images gathered by people, cars and bicyclists equipped with omni-directional cameras and GPS. Google Earth [1] and Bing Maps for Enterprise [2] provide 3D information of the city reconstructed by images taken from the sky. As these methods require special equipments for data, therefore areas whose data are updated frequently are limited only in urban areas.

In the field of Robotics, many methods called vision-based localization which estimates robot positions by using images have been proposed. Commins and Newman proposed a method to localize the robot by the BoF frame work [7]. The method can deal with a large scale environment. To realize large scale localization, they used SIFT for the feature points to match images. SIFT is robust to both luminosity and geometry change to some extent. However, for outdoor environments, since these changes are relatively large due to driving lane, camera direction, weather condition, and so on, the robustness of the feature matching becomes unstable. Such a problem generally happens to vehicle position estimation method using single image. To deal with such variations, Yamamoto proposed a method which matches regions representing building, trees and so on [12]. The region extraction method is based on support vector machine (SVM), so the method is robust for such appearance variations. However, the matching by an image pair is generally unstable. Therefore they apply Markov localization frame work to deal with this matching ambiguity, but not using temporal image sequences.

The method proposed by Ono, reconstructs 3D city models by images taken by multiple probe cars [15]. In the method, epipolar plane image (EPI) and the THI; both are kinds of temporal image sequence; are used to estimate the location of a probe car. Continuous DP matching is conducted to evaluate matching scores, therefore, the method can estimate the location robustly despite the variation of car speed. However, the computation time of the DP matching rapidly increases as the size of database increases. Moreover, the variations of appearance caused by weather and seasons degrade the estimation results, which are acquired by texture information on EPI. Another research of self-localization using on-vehicle camera is proposed by Kyutoku *et al.* [10]. In the method, to estimate



Figure 1: Algorithm overview



Figure 2: Construction step of THI.

vehicle position, a video is captured along the predefined route in advance and compare the image captured by the target vehicle. Since SIFT is used as a feature, accuracy may be affected by geometric variance caused by difference of lane and/or camera direction.

Other researches which estimate the camera position in an urban scene have been proposed. Baatz *et al.* [5] proposed a localization method for smart phones. Their method deals with images taken by different cameras. In this method, Vanishing Point (VP) is extracted from the obtained image then walls of building in the image are projected onto the same plane to reduce variations of appearance caused by the view points. After the conversion, similar images are searched by using BoF algorithm.

Noda *et al.* [14] proposed a similar method. In their method, an obtained image is matched with images taken from the sky, which contain large road regions, so they convert the obtained image to a bird-eye view image by detecting road regions in the image. Sivic *et al.* [17] proposed a method for the same purpose. In their method SIFT features are extracted from the obtained image, then they search for the similar image by the method which is an extension of the document search to the image search. However, these methods are based on single image matching, and not by using temporal information.

3 OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNIQUE

Our purpose is to find the actual place of the scene which was captured by on-vehicle video camera without using any special sensors such as GPS. The method con-

sists of two phases, the first one is a learning phase and the other is a searching phase as shown in Fig. 1. The learning phase consists of five steps. In step L-1, video data which have geographic information are used as an input. The data include the videos which are captured by a probe car. Since an omni-directional camera is common for a probe car, *i.e.*, Google car for Street-view, we also assume that we have a certain amount of omni-directional videos for learning phase. Then, we construct THI (step L-2) and extract features from THIs using A-SIFT [13](step L-3). Since the number of features is too large, we apply BoF which is known to be a typical solution for such a case to make a compact representation of data (step L-4, L-5).

At searching phase, after the obtaining of vehicle mounted video (step S-1), we apply the same step for the learning phase (step S-2, S-3) and construct BoF vector (step S-4). Once we get the vector, we search the similar vector from the database to determine where the video was taken (step S-5).

4 VIDEO LOCALIZATION BASED ON VIDEO SEARCH

4.1 Spatio-Temporal Data Structure

First, we construct the spatio-temporal data to extract the feature which efficiently represents the scene captured by on-vehicle video camera. For the purpose, we adopt THI for a data structure. In the following, we describe what THI is, how to construct it, and an extended method to improve the quality of THI.

4.1.1 Construction of THI

Temporal Height Image (THI) is a spatio-temporal image representing the height of buildings. Each pixel value represents height of buildings of given video sequence. The coordination of THI is same as other temporal image representation such as epipolar plane image (EPI); y indicates frame number of the video and x is same as x-coordinate of the input video. Since, a pixel intensity along a row of THI represents height information of the corresponding frame by a gray scale value, THI represents the change of the height information of the buildings. Fig. 2 shows how to make a THI. First, a silhouette of the buildings is detected by image processing, and then, the heights of the buildings are estimated from the silhouette. Then, the height information are converted to gray scale value and a single row is constructed for each frame. Finally, all the rows from consecutive frames are accumulated to create THI.

4.1.2 Construction of THI from Omni-directional Image

Although the proposed method is robust for the camera speed and the driving lane, it cannot deal with the opposite direction of the car motion, because appearances of both THIs are totally different. Omni-directional camera which can observe 360° view surroundings can be a solution for the problem. Since the omni-directional image can be easily converted into a perspective image viewed from the arbitrary camera position to any view direction, two THIs for both car directions, one views forward and the other views opposite, can be constructed. By using those two THIs, one of them always matches to either direction of the car motion.

4.1.3 Correction of THI

For construction of THI, the obtained video include several obstacles, such as electric cables and clouds in the sky.

To remove these obstacles, we use the method proposed by Wang [16] to remove the electric cables in the sky. The method is based on a median filter using a special shape of kernel, such as 1*n, which has long and thin shape. Although the noise removal process using the median filter efficiently works on cables, it cannot remove large objects like clouds. For solution, Graph-Cut(GC) algorithm [19][8] which can efficiently segment the scene into a small number of elements, such as sky, wall of buildings, trees and roads can be used. One problem of the GC is that it is not easy to set an appropriate parameter for general case, *e.g.*, if we set a strong smoothness term, small but important objects are all wiped out. On the other hand, if we set a small smoothness term, small noises remain in the scene. Therefore, it is difficult to realize both removing clouds and keeping thin, but important objects in the scene, such as street lights; note that such an object like street lights makes a T-junction in THI and is an important feature for similarity retrieval step. In our method, we set a small smoothness term and apply the opening of morphological filter for solution. With the method, some parts of a thin object are removed by GC, but are recovered in the next step.

Fig. 3 shows the cloud removing result. In the original captured image (a), the complicated textures of clouds are observed in a sky and simple edge detection cannot remove those clouds as shown in (b). However, after applying our method, the sky regions are segmented correctly whereas street light is also kept in the scene as shown in Fig. 3 (c). Fig. 4 shows THIs with and without the cloud removing method, In Fig. 4(a) THI has many noises, however, those are all removed in Fig. 4(b).

When making the THI directly from the video, vibration of the car cause noise on THI. The typical solution is to install a shock absorber between the camera and the car. However, since we assume that the video is captured by anonymous people and cannot expect to use such absorber for installation, we apply an image processing technique for solution. Because our target video is captured by an on-vehicle camera which is usually toward the moving direction, the video naturally includes a focus of expansion (FOE) in the scene. Therefore, we use FOE to detect a small vibration of the car. Since FOE is a point where optical flows are come out, the point can be extracted as the intersection point of flow vectors in the scene. Note that it is known that the optical flow is robustly extracted



(a) Input image (b) Edge image (c) Graph cuts

Figure 3: Example image with cloud in the sky and removing result.



(a) Before (b) After

Figure 4: Effect of removing clouds to make THI.

from videos, and thus, FOE can be stably detected. By using the FOE, we can adjust the height of the building to refine the THI.

To estimate FOE consistent to temporal direction, we used a particle filter for FOE tracking in the paper. Although estimation of FOE is almost correct, small vibration still occurs because of miss-matched optical flows or wrong detection by surrounding cars. Those errors are efficiently modified by the temporal filter, especially the particle filter. In the method, each particle represents a position of FOE. If the line of an optical flow passes through a particle, the likelihood of the particle increases. By maximizing the likelihood, temporally consistent FOE tracking is realized.

4.2 Creating a Database Using model

Since either gathering data with a probe car or retrieving data from the Internet is not easily, a digital map could be a promising solution. There are some differences between actual scene and digital maps, for example, street lights, electric poles, and billboards are usually not existing in the digital maps as shown in Fig 5. (d) is sample frame of the digital map and it reconstructs the THI (b). (c) show the sample frame of the actual scene and it is same position with (d). Despite such differences, we can still confirm that the created THIs are globally similar to real data. In addition, most of the digital map has no texture information, however, since we only use height information, we can still utilize the most of them; this is one of the strength of our method using THI.

Finally, this time we created THIs by each 400 frames. If THI is too short, we cannot get enough distinguished features. In addition, if it is too long, THIs are affected by the noise. This time, we confirmed it experimentally



(a) THI (YouTube) (b) THI (Google Earth)

(c) Sample frame of (a) (d) Sample frame of (b)

Figure 5: difference between YouTube and Google Earth

that 400 frames is most appropriate. However, appropriate length vary by velocity or shutter speed of camera.

4.3 Feature Extraction by Affine SIFT

Since THI uses only the height information of the buildings, THIs are not affected by the texture of the buildings. As a result, THIs are robust for appearance variation caused by the weather or time of day. However, THIs have some variations even if cameras take the same scene. The variation is caused by mainly two reasons; one is moving speed of the camera and the other is the difference of the driving lane. Due to this, another feature extraction method is needed.

In THI, the camera speed appears as the incline of the building's border. Thus it can be handled by 2D homographic transformation. The driving lane appears as pixel brightness since the appearance of the building height changes with the distance between the camera and the building. Thus it can be handled by the illumination change. Therefore, we use Affine SIFT [13], which is a feature descriptor that can handle both the illumination change and the affine transformation.

Fig. 6 shows the results of extraction by Affine SIFT features from THIs. In the figures, three THIs and a real scene corresponding to the THI are shown. Points on each THI represent extracted positions of the Affine SIFT features. Since an original number of points is too large for subsequent processes, we conduct clustering by k-means algorithm for aggregation. Points belonging to the same cluster are drawn by the same color in the figures. We can see that the most feature points extracted by Affine SIFT appear in the borders between buildings (the areas where intensity difference is large) and near thin structures such as street lamps in the scene (near the areas where thin lines exist). The intersection of the boundaries of those two areas is called T-junction.



(a) THI1 (b) THI2

(c) THI3 (d) Sample frame of the scene (c)

Figure 6: Example of extracted features using Affine SIFT on THI.



Figure 7: Image retrieval by using BoF

4.4 Similarity Scene Detection using BoF

For image retrieval, we use Bag of Feature (BoF) [6], which is a well-known image retrieval method for web images. In the BoF, each image is represented by the histogram of typical features in the image called visual words, and then, the similarity search of the image is done by the histogram matching between the images in the database and the input image. We apply the BoF to search a similar THI, then the location of input video is determined by the search result.

For implementation, BoF needs clustering of the feature vectors. We use hierarchical k-means algorithm for clustering. Then, we use the center from each cluster to decide delegate feature, called visual words. Since the number of clusters is equal to the number of visual words, the number is an important parameter of BoF's recognition. Although the large number of visual words is preferable for robust recognition, it requires a large memory and computational cost. For the solution, we randomly sample the features. If the ratio of the sampled feature is too low, the recognition results may be degraded. In our method, we set the sampling ratio to be 20% by evaluating the real data; details are described in Section 5.3.

After generating visual words, a normalized histogram of the visual words is calculated for each THI. We apply approximate nearest neighbor (ANN) [11] for calculation. For matching, we use *tf-idf* weighting method [18]. The weight of a visual word v_i in an image j, is denoted as

Figure 8: Our probe car with an omni-directional camera.



Figure 9: Recall and precision rate using Affine SIFT and SURF.

w_{ij}, is calculated as follows:

$$w_{ij} = \frac{tf_{ij}}{\sum_i tf_{ij}} \log \frac{N}{df_{ij}}, \quad (1)$$

where tf_{ij} represents the histogram value of v_i in the image j, df_j represents the number of images including features belonging to v_i, and N represents total number of images. The w_{ij} is the bin value of the histogram.

For retrieval when a query video sequence is given, the video is converted into THI, Affine SIFT features are extracted from the THI, and the histogram of the visual words weights is calculated. Then similarity score is calculated by the inner product of this histogram pair. By calculating all the similarity between the database, the video sequence which has the highest similarity score is selected as the corresponding scene.

5 EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATIONS

We conducted experiments to confirm the effectiveness of the method. We captured the video using the probe car as shown in Fig. 8 (right). The car was equipped with the omni-directional camera as shown in Fig. 8 (left) and could capture 360 degree environment with 30 fps. We also searched the video with keyword "Tokyo car mounted video" from YouTube [3] and downloaded several car-mounted videos as the input. Synthetic input data generated from Google Earth were also used.

In this experiment we manually judged the accuracy of the method by comparing the video sequences. If both query and result THIs contain the images which capture the same position, it is considered correct.



Figure 10: Example of input and search results using Affine SIFT and SURF.



Figure 11: Effect of THI refinement using extracted FOE.



Figure 12: Effect on random sampling.



Figure 13: Effect on the number of visual words.

5.1 Comparison between Affine-SIFT and SURF

As explained in the Sec. 4.3, THIs are always distorted by affine transformation at each video. Affine SIFT for feature detection is our solution. To confirm the effectiveness of using Affine SIFT, we compare the result with SURF which cannot cope with affine transformation.

Fig. 9 shows the recall and precision rate of both methods. We can clearly see that the results using Affine SIFT are always better than thats of SURF. The left column of Fig. 10 left column shows an example of an input THI for query (a), result of Affine SIFT (c) and result of SURF (e). With the figure, although the input THI and the searched THI with Affine SIFT are not globally similar, their local features look similar and this is the reason why correct THI was selected with our method. On the other hand, wrong THI was searched with SURF approach where appearance of THI does not look like the input. The right column of Fig. 10 right column shows the example frames of each scene. We can confirm that the same scene of input (b) is extracted by using our Affine SIFT in (d) even if the camera and the position of the car are totally different.

5.2 Effect with the Correction of THI

To confirm the effectiveness of our THI correction using FOE explained in Sec. 4.1.3, we compared the result with and without THI correction. Fig. 11 shows the recall and precision rate of both methods. We can clearly see that the results with THI correction have improved the results.

5.3 Effect of the Number of Features on BoF

We use BoF for recognition part. Since BoF is based on the idea that a large number of simple features has a good potential for recognition, the number of features is an important factor to define the ability of the method. Since clustering technique, which basically high computational cost, is required in the process of BoF, it is not easy to increase the number of feature points simply. Therefore, we tested the method with respect to the number of the features on BoF with the following two approaches. (1): We randomly sample the feature points from every THI to reduce the number of feature points. (2): We reduce the number of visual words, but do not change the number of the feature points. The purpose of the second evaluation is to check the effect when a bin size of histogram is enlarged; large bin size can drastically reduce the computational cost for clustering.

Fig. 12 shows the effect of (1). We can clearly see that drastic reduction severely degrade the results, however, if there is a certain amount of data, quality of results does not change. Fig. 13 shows the effect of (2). We can see that the number of visual words does not affect the quality of the result much. From those two results, it suggests that it is possible to reduce the number of both feature points and visual words by some threshold to make the low computational cost keeping the quality of the result.

5.4 Effect of using Omni-Directional Camera for the Probe Car

The video captured by the probe car is expected to improve the results. In the paper, we propose a method to use an omni-directional camera for the probe car to further improve the results. In the experiment, we used Ladybug camera [4] for capturing. Ladybug have five separated cameras. However, we created THIs not from each camera, but from the panoramic image which is created by integrating the five camera images. In our method, we made two THIs for the direction of car moving direction and its opposite. If two of the five cameras' direction are the same as the desired direction, it is efficient to use the camera images. However, in reality, the direction of the installation of the Ladybug camera cannot be set to the same direction every time, we cut out images from the panoramic image. To confirm the effectiveness of our method, we compared the results of 2 databases constructed by the omni-directional video; one using only one converted perspective video (DB1) and the other using both the perspective and the opposite (DB2). Three query videos were downloaded from YouTube. All videos captured the same scene from the same route, but their directions are different.

Fig. 17 shows the recall and precision rate of the results. In Fig. 17, "Perspective Image" denotes the results using the DB1, and "omni-directional image" denotes those using the DB2. Since the shapes of THI created from the videos capturing the same scene along the same road but from opposite directions are totally different, it is almost impossible to find correct match between them. However, it is drastically improved by adding the video captured by omni-directional camera as shown Fig. 17. Fig. 14 shows example THIs and scenes of this experiment. Fig. 14(a) shows a query THI, and Fig. 14(c) is an example frame of the sequence constructing (a). Fig. 14(b) is the matched THI with (a) in DB2, and Fig. 14(d) is an example frame of (b). Fig. 14(e) is the THI of same scene as (b) but using opposite directional video, and Fig. 14(g) is an example frame of (e). Fig. 14(f) is matched THI with (a) in DB1, and Fig. 14(h) is example frame of (f).

The right column of Fig. 14 shows the example frames extracted from each THI. We can confirm that the same scene of input (c) was successfully extracted from the omni-directional camera (d) and ordinary camera (h) which was captured from the car moving toward the opposite direction.



(a) Input THI created by YouTube video

(b) Search result of THI from Omni-directional camera (Same direction as the input)

(c) Sample frame of the scene (a)

(d) Sample frame of the scene (b)

(e) THI of Omni-directional camera with the same frame of (b), but opposite direction

(f) Search result THI corresponding to (e)

(g) Sample frame of the scene (e)

(h) Sample frame of the scene (f)

Figure 14: Since direction of the car of (a) and (f) is opposite, THI's appearances are totally different and cannot be searched directly. However, using omni-directional camera, those two THIs are successfully connected. As the same building is depicted by red circles in all frames, we can confirm that all the images are capturing the same scene.

5.5 Comparison between learning data set

First, we conducted the experiment to compare the three data sets for learning. We used 30 places for learning from each data sets; from eight video sequences downloaded from YouTube, two routes captured by omni-directional camera and synthetic data created by Google Earth. For test, we selected 10 videos with different places which are included in learning database for query and got ordered answers from the system. Fig 15 shows the example result using Google Earth for learning database. In the figure, we can find apparent noises in the input THI, correct THI is selected. Fig 16 shows precision rate for each data set. As the results, we found that YouTube is the best among three data types.

The reason of the different performance in precision rate can be explained as follows. In Google Earth, small details are not appear in the scene, and thus, local feature of THI is different from real data. In addition, camera is positioned too close to the ground, hence, usually camera is placed near the roof of the car. Those bad conditions make the low rate. About omni-directional camera, we put the camera on a special tripod on the roof and it also significantly change the view from the query data. Based on the fact, although the quality of YouTube data is not high, the results indicate that it is promising to use it as a learning data.

5.6 Large Database Test for Scalability Evaluation

Finally, we carried out the experiment to verify the scalability of our method by changing the size of database. We used ten video sequences downloaded from YouTube.



Figure 15: Example of input and search results using Google Earth as the learning database. We can find the same building in both (c) and (d).



Figure 16: Precision rate for each database. Since the system returns the ordered results of estimated places, top n results are checked to calculate the precision rate. Therefore, horizontal axis one means that only top ranked result is checked whether the correct place is estimated or not. For YouTube case, the correct answer must be included in top five results.

Fig. 18 shows the recall and precision rate of each experiment changing a number of routes from two to ten. We can see that even if we increase the database size, recall and precision rate keep almost the same accuracy with similar tendency, and thus, we can expect that our method properly works with larger databases.

6 CONCLUSION and FUTURE WORK

In the paper, we proposed a method to realize the matching of videos which capture the same scene from car-mounted cameras without using any sensors, such as GPS. In order to achieve the robust matching with enough scalability, our method uses THI and Affine SIFT for feature detection and BoF for search. In the experiments, we confirmed effectiveness of our method by using real data that were downloaded from YouTube and captured by the probe car. Further, we crated the database using Google Earth. We can apply our method for the position estimation in a place where GPS information cannot be acquired. In addition,



Figure 17: Effect on using omni directional camera.



Figure 18: Effect on changing the database size.

our method can be used to localize the video without any other information; such video is frequently found in Internet, *e.g.*, YouTube. In the future, we plan to use larger database downloaded from Internet. We would like to connect them together to construct a large and dense image database over the world.

References

[1] GoogleEarth : http://www.google.com/earth/index.html.

[2] Bing Maps for Enterprise : http://www.microsoft.com/maps/.

[3] YouTube : http://www.youtube.com/.

[4] Point Grey Research Inc. : Ladybug2.

[5] Georges Baatz, Kevin Köser, David Chen, Radek Grzeszczuk, and Marc Pollefeys. Leveraging 3d city models for rotation invariant place-of-interest recognition. Vol. 96, , 2012.

[6] Gabriella Csurka, Christopher R. Dance, Lixin Fan, Jutta Willamowski, and Cedric Bray. Visual categorization with bags of keypoints. In In Workshop on Statistical Learning in Computer Vision, ECCV, pp. 1–22, 2004.

[7] M. Cummins and P. Newman. Invited Applications Paper FAB-MAP: Appearance-Based Place Recognition and Mapping using a Learned Visual Vocabulary Model. In 27th Intl Conf. on Machine Learning (ICML2010), 2010.

[8] Pedro F. Felzenszwalb and Daniel P. Huttenlocher. Efficient graph-based image segmentation. Int. J. Comput. Vision, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 167–181, September 2004.

[9] Google Inc. Google Street View. http://maps.google.com/help/maps/streetview/.

[10] Kyutoku Haruya, Deguchi Daisuke, Takahashi Tomokazu, Mekada Yoshito, Ide Ichiro, and Murase Hiroshi. Subtraction-based forward obstacle detection using illumination insensitive feature for driving-support. In Andrea Fusiello, Vittorio Murino, and Rita Cucchiara, editors, ECCV Workshops (2), Vol. 7584 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 515–525. Springer, 2012.

[11] Piotr Indyk and Rajeev Motwani. Approximate nearest neighbors: towards removing the curse of dimensionality. In Proceedings of the thirtieth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, STOC '98, pp. 604–613, New York, NY, USA, 1998. ACM.

[12] Jun Miura and Koshiro Yamamoto. Robust view matching-based markov localization in outdoor environments. In IROS, pp. 2970–2976. IEEE, 2008.

[13] Jean-Michel Morel and Guoshen Yu. Asift: A new framework for fully affine invariant image comparison. SIAM J. Img. Sci., Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 438–469, April 2009.

[14] Masafumi Noda, Tomokazu Takahashi, Daisuke Deguchi, Ichiro Ide, Hiroshi Murase, Yoshiko Kojima, and Takashi Naito. Road image update using in-vehicle camera images and aerial image. In Intelligent Vehicles Symposium, pp. 460–465. IEEE, 2011.

[15] Shintaro Ono, Ryota Matsuhisa, Katsushi Ikeuchi, and Hiroshi Kawasaki. Vehicle localization using spacetime matching of on-vehicle video. In 9th ITS symposium 2010, 2010.

[16] K. Ikeuchi S. Ono, J. Wang. Image-based vehicle localization using a series of building height information. 16th World Congress on Intelligent Transport Systems and Services, 9 2009.

[17] J. Sivic and A. Zisserman. Video Google: A text retrieval approach to object matching in videos. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Vision, Vol. 2, pp. 1470–1477, October 2003.

[18] Karen Spärck Jones. A statistical interpretation of term specificity and its application in retrieval. 1972.

[19] Jianxiong Xiao and Long Quan. Multiple view semantic segmentation for street view images. In ICCV, pp. 686–693. IEEE, 2009.